Judgement of Paris. Ignore 'the start of his life or quest' and there is also Odysseus: Calypso, Circe, and Nausicaa are his temptresses, separated and stationed at successive stages of his adventures,<sup>37</sup> while the Sirens<sup>38</sup> represent the temptresses reassembled and reunited back at one place and time.<sup>39</sup> 'Come hither to rest' are the words of the Sirens to Odysseus (12.184),<sup>40</sup> of the Norwegian crone to the two elder brothers, of Folly ('whoso is simple, let him turn in hither') at Proverbs 9.16.<sup>41</sup> The cry of the temptress throughout the ages.

St John's College, Oxford

MALCOLM DAVIES doi:10.1093/clquaj/bmh061

<sup>37</sup> On this aspect of these three figures, see Davies (n. 9), 24–6 and 32–4. Calypso is the *one* temptress when contrasted with Penelope. Cf. Coulter (n. 17), 53.

<sup>38</sup> Talking of the Argonauts, Fontenrose (n. 7), 486 observes: 'the Sirens . . . come to much the same thing as the death-dealing mistress'. He calls the relevant episode within his combat myth 'the Siren theme' (see n. 27). In Greek, Siren meant 'a female of dangerous and deceitful charm': see D. L. Page, Folktales in Homer's Odyssey (Cambridge, MA, 1973), 85 and 127, n. 22. For Sirens' connections, alongside Calypso, Circe, and Nausicaa, with the Other- and Underworld, see Davies (n. 9), 26. Like Echidna (n. 30) they are Mischwesen, but they also resemble the Muses: for both aspects see Page, 86–8 and 126, n. 20, for the latter L. E. Doherty, Siren Songs: Gender, Audiences, and Narrators in the Odyssey (Ann Arbor, 1995), 61 and 135–7 with nn. 20 and 25. In their use of  $i \partial \mu \epsilon \nu$  in anaphora at Od. 12.188ff. to emphasize their wide knowledge, the Sirens resemble the Muses of Hes. Theog. 22–4 (Heubeck on the Odyssean passage supposes Hesiod took this device from it). For the more ambivalent side of Muses, see Davies, 43, n. 60 and cf. G. Luck, Horizonte der Humanitas, Walter Wili Festschrift (1960), 88 = Ancient Pathways & Hidden Pursuits, 73. In their use of  $i \partial \mu \epsilon \nu$  in anaphora the Sirens also resemble those other helpers, the three Libyan Heroines of Apoll. Rhod. Arg. 4.1305ff.

<sup>39</sup> The Sirens 'inhabited three island rocks' (Page [n. 38], 85 and 127 n. 30), whence three Sirens (Hesiodic *Catalogue*: fr. 27 MW). But Homer and Sophocles fr. 861 present two, others more: Frazer's Loeb Apollodorus 2.290.

<sup>40</sup> Odysseus, overcoming the Sirens' temptation, succeeds where all have failed, a pattern in other tales of temptresses thwarted: e.g. the Norwegian story (n. 28) or Wagner's *Parsifal*. This is genuine folk-tale, as Meuli saw (n. 27): he compared Odysseus and Circe with the *Waldhaus* episode from the Bearson tradition (see M. Davies, 'Rumpelstiltskin and Greek mythology', *Prometheus* 28 [2002], 3, n. 10). There too, the hero succeeds against the demon *where his comrades failed* and extracts help, confirming (cf. n. 27) the paradoxical near-identity of our temptress with the demonic, ambivalent helper in the hero's quest.

<sup>41</sup> See too the Flower Maidens in Act Two of *Parsifal: Komm, komm, holder Knabe! Komm, komm, lass mich dir blühen.* For Sirens and flowery meadows, cf. Page (n. 38), 128, n. 36.

## APOLLO'S HAWK AT ARISTOPHANES, BIRDS 5161

Πε. ὁ δὲ δεινότατόν γ' ἐστὶν ἁπάντων, ὁ Ζεὺς γὰρ ὁ νῦν βασιλεύων αἰετὸν ὄρνιν ἔστηκεν ἔχων ἐπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς βασιλεὺς ὤν, 515 ἡ δ' αὖ θυγάτηρ γλαῦχ', ὁ δ' Ἀπόλλων ὥσπερ θεράπων ἱέρακα.

*Peisetairos*. And what is the most dreadful thing of all, is that Zeus, who is king now, is shown standing with an eagle on his head because he's king, and his daughter likewise with an owl, and Apollo, like a servant, with a hawk.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Trans. A. H. Sommerstein, *Birds* (Warminster, 1987), 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I would like to express my gratitude to Dr C. W. Marshall of the University of British Columbia for frequent and invaluable advice throughout the preparation of this paper.

The editors of Aristophanes have generally retained the paradosis at *Birds* 516,<sup>3</sup> but Nan Dunbar condemns  $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$   $\theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$  as a meaningless comparison.<sup>4</sup> However, in preferring Blaydes's<sup>5</sup>  $\omega s$   $\theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$   $\omega \nu$  'as the neatest correction palaeographically . . . and providing the necessary causal clause ("because he's a servant")', I believe that Dunbar may leave questions unanswered.

Blaydes elsewhere proposed<sup>9</sup> to correct  $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi \omega \nu$  to  $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi \sigma \nu \theta$ ' and make the hawk the servant in Apollo's place. But without an appropriately specific reference<sup>10</sup> to support this allusion, then it simply states the obvious, and fails to bring 514–16 to a fitting climax.

The remaining defence of the manuscript reading is to assume a popular reference that has since been lost to us. Sommerstein (ad loc.) posits the proverb that 'every slave is a hawk to his master': for Aristophanes tells us elsewhere that servants are a thieving lot (see *Ach.* 272–3, *Eq.* 101, *Vesp.* 449, *Pl.* 27, 318–21), and hawks are symbolic of rapacity. But such an hypothesis can neither be proven nor disproven, and should be adopted only in the absence of a viable alternative.

Let us consider the text. No doubt need fall on the phrase  $\delta \delta' A\pi\delta\lambda\omega\nu$ ...  $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\kappa\alpha$ , for there is no difficulty in making the hawk the associate of Apollo. At Od. 15.525 the  $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\xi$  is Apollo's special messenger; at II. 15.220 Apollo is likened to a hawk as he swoops from Olympus; the scholium to Birds 564 explains that Apollo will be

- <sup>3</sup> Among the most influential are F. H. Bothe (Leipzig, 1845), B. Bickley Rogers (London, 1906), F. W. Hall and W. M. Geldart (Oxford, 1906/7<sup>2</sup>), V. Coulon, trans. H. van Daele (Paris, 1928), and, most recently, Sommerstein (n. 2).
- <sup>4</sup> N. Dunbar, Aristophanes, Birds (Oxford, 1995), 355: Dunbar develops this argument to counter F. Wieseler, Schedae Criticae in Ar. Aves (Göttingen, 1882), 12–13. She adds from the testimony of Aristotle (HA 620a33–b5) that fifth-century hunting expeditions outside of Thrace were unlikely to include raptors; on this point, see also J. Pollard, Birds in Athenian Life and Myth (London, 1977), 108–9, who describes the practice of falconry in Thrace and Persia and notes its absence on the Greek mainland.
  - <sup>5</sup> F. H. M. Blaydes, *Aristophanis Aves* (Halle, 1882<sup>2</sup>), 463, addenda et corrigenda.
  - <sup>6</sup> See D. Holwerda (ed.), Scholia in Aristophanem (Groningen, 1991), Pars i, fasc. v, 87, ad 515b.
  - <sup>7</sup> Bothe, Aristophanis Comoediae I (Leipzig, 1845), on his line 490.
- <sup>8</sup> Dunbar (n. 4), ad loc. The Euripidean echo of Alc. 442 at Av. 539 (πολὺ δὴ πολὺ δή) is presented as being typical for Euripides, and is not a specific allusion to Alcestis.
  - <sup>9</sup> Blaydes (n. 5), 61, ad 516.
- 10 The correction may be verbally supported by Aelian (NA 12.4), who names two species of  $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\xi$  as  $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\omega\nu$  καὶ ἀκόλουθος Ἀπόλλωνος; but Aelian proceeds to assign four other species of  $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\xi$  to Athena, Hermes, Hera, and Artemis, respectively, and the thrust of NA 12.4 is, in its author's own words, that different gods are served by different  $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\kappa\epsilon$ . On the religious associations of the  $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\xi$  in general, cf. D'Arcy Thompson, A Glossary of Greek Birds (Oxford, 1895), 65–7.

replaced by the hawk when the gods are supplanted by their respective birds; <sup>11</sup> and at Herodotus 2.156 Apollo is identified with the Egyptian hawk-god Horus. But the explanatory clause should offer a comic justification for Apollo's association with the hawk, and parallel the function of  $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$  two lines above it. What characteristic does the hawk possess that Peisetairos here means to assign to Apollo?

Throughout the plays of Aristophanes, the image of the  $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\xi$  represents avarice: thus the hawk is likened to Aristophanes' rapacious Cleon at Eq. 1052, and when the birds promise to aid humanity in its 'plundering'  $(\hat{a}\rho\pi\hat{a}\sigma\alpha\iota)$  at Av. 1111–12, their gift will be an  $\partial\xi\hat{v}v$   $i\epsilon\rho\alpha\kappa(i\sigma\kappa\sigma)$ . The same imagery is found throughout archaic and classical literature. <sup>12</sup> I believe Dunbar comes closest to the truth when she remarks (ad 516), 'Perhaps hawk-like rapacity (cf. 1111–12) is being implied in Apollo himself, whether as god of the lucrative Delphic oracle or as god of physicians.' For physicians  $(ia\tau\rho\sigma\hat{\iota})$  are often the subject of comic criticism in Aristophanes, and always for the same reason:

```
    Βλ. οὔκουν ἰατρὸν εἰσάγειν ἐχρῆν τινά;
    Χρ. τίς δῆτ' ἰατρός ἐστι νῦν ἐν τῆ πόλει;
    οὔτε γὰρ ὁ μισθὸς οὐδὲν ἔστ' οὕθ' ἡ τέχνη.
```

Blepsidemos. Well, shouldn't we be calling in a doctor? Chremulos. Why, what doctors are there now in Athens? The pay's not there, so the profession's not there either. 13

```
    Γε. ἀπόλωλα τὦφθαλμὼ δακρύων τὼ βόε.
    ἀλλ' εἴ τι κήδει Δερκέτου Φυλασίου,
    ὑπάλειψον εἰρήνῃ με τὦφθαλμὼ ταχύ.
    Δι. ἀλλ' ὧ πόνηρ' οὖ δημοσιεύων τυγχάνω.
```

Derketes. I've cried my eyes out with weeping for my oxen. If you care at all for Dercetes of Phyle, please anoint my eyes with peace right away.

Dikaiopolis. But, my poor dear fellow, I'm not a public physician. 14

```
Πε. οἱ δ' αὖ κόρακες τῶν ζευγαρίων, οἶσιν τὴν γῆν καταροῦσιν, καὶ τῶν προβάτων τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς ἐκκοψάντων ἐπὶ πείρα· εἶθ' ὄ γ' Ἀπόλλων ἰατρός γ' ὢν ἰάσθω· μισθοφορεῖ δέ.
```

Peisetairos. And the ravens, for their part, by way of a test, should knock out the eyes of their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The line describing this has probably dropped out following 564; see Dunbar ad loc.

Where the image of the hawk represents swiftness (so *Il.* 13.62, Paus. 7.12.2a), cruel rapacity for prey (so *Il.* 236–8, Aesch. *Pr.* 856), and hence greed, cruelty, and self-interest in general (so Hes. *Op.* 204–5, Pl. *Phd.* 82a). For the hawk's epithets, see D'Arcy Thompson (n. 10), 65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Plut. 406–8, trans. A. H. Sommerstein, Wealth (Warminster, 2001), 77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ach. 1027–30, trans. A. H. Sommerstein, Acharnians (Warminster, 1980), 137. Together, the three passages cited here point to a dispute over the stipend ( $\mu\iota\sigma\theta\delta$ s) of the publicly employed physician (see Dunbar and Sommerstein on Av. 584, Sommerstein on Plut. 408, and S. D. Olson, Aristophanes, Acharnians [Oxford, 2002], 325, with references). Athens may have been forced to reduce the amount of its retaining fee during the war, or after the plague. At any rate, the cost of medical care was a popular issue, and Aristophanes alluded to it repeatedly: together with the passages cited above, compare Aristophanes' remarks on the public physician Pittalos at Ach. 1032, 1222 and Vesp. 1432.

sheep, and of the teams with which they plough their land. Then let Apollo, Healer that he is, heal them; he draws a salary for that!<sup>15</sup>

At *Birds* 584 Aristophanes suggests that Apollo himself may be regarded as an avaricious  $i\alpha\tau\rho\delta_s$ . Likewise, I think, the justification for Apollo's association with the rapacious hawk at *Birds* 516 may be sought in his identity as physician.

I propose that the text of 516 should read as follows:

δ δ' Απόλλων ώς θεραπεύων ίέρακα.

θεραπεύων, as a substantive, means 'doctor' (Pl. Plt. 293bc), and the verb θεραπεύω designates the activity of physicians in Thucydides (2.47.4). It bears the same force in the Hippocratic Oath, in Antiphon, in Xenophon, in Isocrates, in Plato, and in Aristotle. Apollo is a natural subject of the participle  $\theta$ εραπεύων, and the climax of 514–16 will serve as another allusion, like Pl. 406–8, Ach. 1027–30, and Av. 582–4, to the issue of physicians' pay.

The corruption of  $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\omega}\omega$  to  $\theta\epsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$  is a straightforward slip:  $\theta\epsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$  appears nine times in Aristophanes, three of them in *Birds* (discounting 516):  $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\omega}\omega$ , by contrast, appears five times in the extant eleven comedies, and never in *Birds*. The two forms were often used interchangeably, and the only discernible difference in the Attic authors is the medical force that came, in the late fifth and fourth centuries, to attend  $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\omega}\omega$ . A copyist could easily have written  $\theta\epsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$  in carelessness, particularly after Zeus had been described as  $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}s$ . The assonance of  $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\omega}\omega$  also chiefly echoes 514, its primary parallel. 17

We may then follow Blaydes and Dunbar in proposing that  $-\pi\epsilon\rho$  was appended to  $\dot{\omega}_S$  to mend the metre, and render the full passage as follows:

Πε. δ δὲ δεινότατόν γ' ἐστὶν ἁπάντων, ὁ Ζεὺς γὰρ ὁ νῦν βασιλεύων αἰετὸν ὄρνιν ἔστηκεν ἔχων ἐπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς βασιλεὺς ὤν, 515 ἡ δ' αὖ θυγάτηρ γλαῦχ', ὁ δ' Ἀπόλλων ὡς θεραπεύων ἱέρακα.

*Peisetairos.* And what is the most dreadful thing of all, is that Zeus, who is king now, is shown standing with an eagle on his head because he's king, and his daughter likewise with an owl, and Apollo, since he's a doctor, with a hawk.

English folk ornithology would prefer 'a vulture', but the point is clear. In its context this reading is greatly improved, for it joins a steady stream of similar allegations. At 508–9 birds are said to perch atop the scepters of kings 'to share in their bribe-taking'; at 513 and 521 Lysicrates and Lampon are duly lambasted for their greed and fraudulence respectively. To attack physicians for greed at 516 fits naturally. Just as the analogy of the  $i\epsilon\rho a\xi$  serves subtly to disparage Cleon at Eq. 1052, it adds a fine satirical point to the passage here.

Oriel College, Oxford

MICHAEL GRIFFIN michael.griffin@oriel.ox.ac.uk doi:10.1093/clquaj/bmh062

<sup>15</sup> Av. 582-4, trans. A. H. Sommerstein, Birds (Warminster, 1987), 83.

<sup>16</sup> LSJ s.v.  $\theta$ εραπεύω ΙΙ.7.

<sup>17 514:</sup> βασιλεύων; 515: βασιλεύς τως 516: θεραπεύων. The alternative ως θεράπων των draws attention instead to 515. The 'jangling assonance' that we find in these lines, as Dunbar observes (ad 516), is not alien to Aristophanes. In fact it has caused omissions elsewhere: γέροντας < σντας >, Ach. 222.